LOWER SAN DIEGO RIVER WATER QUALITY 2014

Water Quality Monitoring Supplemental Report Appendices E-J



Site 4 - FSDRIP at Mission Center Road Bridge Crossing

Supporting Water Quality Monitoring Data for the Lower San Diego River John C. Kennedy, PE

November 2014

Lower San Diego River Water Quality - 2014

Table of Contents (WQM Report - separate document)

Section 2. LSDR Spatial Water Quality WY14/10-Yr Avg.	
Section 3. LSDR Temporal Water Quality WY14/110-Yr Avg.	
Section 4. WY13 WQIndex Compared to Prior Years	
WY13 WQM Report Supplemental Appendices	
Table of Contents	
E. SD RiverWatch WQ Monitoring Program	pg E1-E4
Table E.1 LSDR Sections, Reaches and Monitoring Sites	10
Table E.2 - LSDR WQM Site Information	
Table E.3 - LSDR Water Quality Monitoring Parameters	
Figure E.1 - Lower San Diego River Catchment and WQM Sites	
F. LSD River Hydrology and Water Quality	pg F1-F3
Table F.1 - Lower SDR Average Daily Flows (WY05-WY14)	
Table F.2 - Rainfall and Long-Term Average Daily Flow (1914-2014)	
Table F.3 - Annual Rainfall and Average Daily Flow (WY05-WY14)	
Charts F.1 - B.3 LSDR Hydrologic Data	
G. 2013 Monthly WQM Data by Site	pg G1-G7
Table G.1 West, Mid & East Section Water Temperature	
Table G.2 West, Mid & East Section pH	
Table G.3 West, Mid & East Section Specific Conductivity	
Table G.4 West, Mid & East Section Dissolved Oxygen Concentration	
Table G.5 West, Mid & East Section DO as Percent of Saturation	
H. 2013 Monthly WQ Data (by Others)	pg H1-H2
Table H.1 USGS San Diego River Stream Flow	
Table H.2 SDCW San Diego River Coliform Bacteria Counts	
I. Water Quality Indexing and WQIs by River Site	pg I1-I2
Table I.1 WY13 WQI Values by WQM Site - West Section	
Table I.2 WY13 WQI Values by LSDR WQM Site - Mid & East Sections	
J. WQM Data Summary (WY05-WY14)	pg J1-J2
Table J.1 WQM Data Summary (Annual & Seasonal Averages)	
Table J.2 WQM Data Summary (10-Yr Spatial Averages)	
Charts J.1-J.3	

Questions regarding the San Diego River WQM database or interpretation of results expressed in these appendices can be directed to the attention of the report's author, John C. Kennedy, through contacting SDRPF at <u>info@SanDiegoRiver.org</u>, or the RiverWatch Coordinator at 619-297-7380.

Section 1. Introduction

Appendix E - San Diego RiverWatch WQ Monitoring Program

Appendix E provides an overview of SDRPF's RiverWatch water quality monitoring (WQM) program that, over the past 10 years, has been engaged in collecting and assessing data pertaining to the Lower San Diego River (LSDR) watershed on a continuous monthly basis.

Monitoring Period & Coverage: Monthly monitoring over past 10 years (Oct. 2004 – Sept. 2014) covering the Lower San Diego River and its tributaries extending downstream from Lakeside (river mile 19.8 elev. 340 ft amsl) to the Estuary (river mile 2.96, elev. 5.8 ft amsl) under the I-5/Pacific Hwy. overpasses. The LSDR watershed and monitoring sites are shown on **Figure E.1**.

Monitoring Sites: 15 total - 12 on main course (Mission Valley Section - sites 1-7, Mission Gorge Section - sites 8-10, Santee Basin Section - sites 11-15) plus three tributary stream sites are listed in **Table E.1.** Site locations, river milage, bed elevations and coordinates are provided in **Table E.2.**

Section	/Reach/Tributary	Site #s	Comments
	Estuary Entrance	1E/1W	Tidal Influence at transition from river to SDR Estuary
Lc	ower Mission Valley (LMV)	2E/W, 3 & 4	4 miles of lower river extending to I-805
Up	oper Mission Valley (UMV)	5,6 & 7	4-mile stretch from I-805 to Princes View Dr
Mission Valley	(West Sites)	1-7	8-mile western portion through Mission Valley
Mid-Section	Mission Gorge (MG)	8,9T & 10	5-mile mid-section, Princess View Dr to Kumeyaay Lk
	Lower Santee Basin (LSB)	11,12&15	2-mile stretch from Kumeyaay Lk to Carlton Hills Blvd
	Upper Santee Basin (USB)	13 & 14	3-mile stretch from Carlton Hills Blvd to Riverford Rd
Santee Basin (SI	B)	11-15	5-mile eastern section from Kumeyaay Lk to Lakeside
Eastern Portion	s (East Sites)	8 -15	10-mile eastern/upper 3 reaches (2 sections)
Tributaries:			
M	urphy Canyon/Qualcom ^{a)}	5a	Enters LSDR southwest of Qualcom Stadium
Jackso	on Dr/Birchcreek Outfall ^{b)}	9	Enters LSDR at Sycott Wash (d/s of Site 8)
Santee Lakes	s/E. Sycamore Cnyn Creek	12T	Enters LSDR d/s of Carlton Oaks GC (u/s of Site 11)
	Forester Creek c)	15T	Enters LSDR at Carlton Oaks GC (u/s of Site 12T)
Lower SDR Wat	tershed (LSDR)	1-15	Weighted average of all 5 reaches or all 3 sections

Table E.1 LSDR Sections, Reaches and Monitoring Sites

(a) Monthly monitoring discontinued in WY07; nearby Ward Rd bridge site renumbered as 5.

(b) Monthly monitoring initiated in 2008; site also termed Jackson Dr. Outfall (OF).

(c) Monthly monitoring initiated in 2007 with adjusted site location in 2009.

WQ Parameters: Seven measured and recorded parameters (Temp, pH, SC, DO, DO%Sat, NO₃ & PO₄) plus subjective field observations re: environs and characteristics are listed in **Table E.3.** As nutrient testing for NO₃ and PO₄ is carried out at five selected sites; two in West (2 & 6) and three in East (11,14 & 15), respectively, results are not used in performing statistical analyses regarding reaches/sections of the river. Number of datum for each of the five physical-chemical parameters monitored monthly at each site over the 9-yr period (Oct. 04 - Oct. 13) are in the range of 80 to 95. Two other water quality parameters monitored by others at several sites, streamflow from USGS (Poway Office) and coliform counts from SDCoastKeeper, are also recorded for purposes of determining the water quality index.

Site			GIS Coc	ordinates							
#	Site Name	u/s mi.	Elev. ft.	Location		Long.					
	LMV - Lower Reach W. Mission Valley: I-5 Bridge to I-805 Bridge (Sites 1-4)										
1	Estuary W/E	Between PC Hwy & I-5 on encased sewer main	32.76131	-117.2037							
2	River Gardens E/W	3.50	11	W. of YMCA, d/s of Trolly overpass at riffle	32.76230	-117.1944					
3	Fashion Valley Mall W	5.08	22	below Town & Country Pedestrian Bridge	32.76517	-117.1687					
4	FSDRIP	5.98	36	N. of Mimi's Cafe on Mission Center Rd Bridge	32.76986	-117.1548					
	UMV - Upper Reach E. Mi	ssion	Valley	: I-805 Bridge to North end of Admiral Baker Field	d (Sites 5-	7)					
5	Ward Rd Bridge	8.89	50	S. of Trolly overpass at Del Rio S intersection	32.78024	-117.1103					
6	Kaiser Ponds	9.46	56	E. of Mission SD de Acala at SD Mission Rd	32.78406	-117.1042					
7	Admiral Baker Field	9.98	58	L - Lower (below Friars Rd bridge)	32.79038	-117.1031					
1	ABF - Zion Rd	10.2	62	Z - Terminus of Zion Ave at Riverdale St	32.79304	-117.0998					
West	(MV) - Mission Valley Section	: Estu	ary to	Admiral Baker Field (Sites 1-7) [LMV+UMV]							
	MG - Mission Gor	ge Re	ach: Q	uarry Area to Old Mission Dam (Sites 8-10)							
8	Mission Trails at Jackson Dr	13.8	159	at SDCWA down stream of Scycott Crossing	32.82124	-117.0621					
9T	Jackson Dr/Birchcreek OF	13.9	198	San Marcos area tributary by Jackson Dr. Trail	32.82268	-117.0622					
10	Old Mission Dam W/E	15.7	265	Downstream side of Old Mission Dam	32.83977	-117.0433					
Mid-S	Section (MG) - Mission Gorge	Sectio	n: Qu	arry Area to Old Mission Dam (Sites 8-10)							
	LSB - Lower Reach Santee B	asin:	W. Hil	ls Pkwy to Carlton Hills Blvd Bridge (Sites 11,12 d	&15)						
11	West Hills Pkwy	17	300	at/below West Hills Pkwy Bridge	32.83936	-117.0244					
12T	Carlton Oaks Dr/Santee	18.2	320	Sycamore Ck/Santee Lakes at Carlton Oaks Dr.	32.84431	-117.0064					
15T	Forester Creek	18.9	336	Forester Ck (tributary) at Prospect Ave.	32.83221	-116.9866					
	USB - Upper Reach S	Santee	Basin	: Carlton Hills Blvd Bridge to Riverford Rd (Sites	13-14)						
13	Mast Park	18.50	330	Pedestrian Bridge behind (N of) Walmart	32.84696	-116.9734					
14	14 Cottonwood Ave/RCP 19.8 340 W of RCP plant at Chubb Ln/Cottonwood Ave 32.84434 -116.989										
East (SB) - Santee Basin Section: We	est Hil	ls Parl	kway to Lakeside (Sites 11-15 above) [LSB+USB]							
LSDR	- Lower San Diego River Wa	tershe	d: SD	Estuary to Lakeside (Sites 1-15 above) [MV2+MC	G+SB]						

Table E.2 - LSDR WQM Site Information

Reaches (5) - averaged values for combination of adjacent sites excluding tributaries within identified portions of river (LMV, UMV, MG, LSB, USB) .

Sections (3) - averaged values for adjacent reaches (MV = LMV+UMV, MG = MG, SB = LSB+USB)

Tributaries (3) – sites located on small creeks/drainages tributary to main stream watercourse.

LSDR – computed values for entire lower watershed (distance-weighted average of all 5 reaches or all 3 sections); average (LMV+UMV+MG+SB) or average (MV2+MG+SB).

average (Livi v + 0/vi v + 1/viO+SD) of average (1/vi v 2+1/viO+SD).

Protocol: <u>East Side</u> – (Santee Basin & Mission Gorge Sections). The 8 sites within upper three reaches (MG, LSB & USB) typically monitored 3rd Fri. or Sat. of month. <u>West Side</u> - (Mission Valley Section). Seven sites within the lower two reaches (LMV & UMV) monitored monthly, typically 3rd Sun. of month.

WQ Parameter	unit	Comments			
Measured monthly at all sites:		Continonio			
1. Temperature (Temp)	oC	Basic characteristic and WQ driver (Table C.1)			
2. pH	-	Degree of acidity (<7.0) or alkalinity (>7.0) (Table C.3)			
3. Specific Conductivity (SpC)	mS/cm	Measure of ionic content or dissolved solids (Table C.2)			
4. Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	mg/L	Good indicator of relative water quality (Table C.4)			
5. Percent of DO Saturation (DO%Sat)	%	Good indicator of general water quality (Table C.5)			
Sampled/tested monthly at selected sites: (t	ypically 5 - 3 E	East & 2 West)			
6. Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	mg/L	Important nutrient for biological activity			
7. Phosphate (PO ₄ -P)	mg/L	Key nutrient for biological activity			
Discontinued on regular basis in 2006:					
8. Turbidity	NTU	Discontinued due to inaccurate/invalid readings			
9. Barometric Pressure	mBars	Suspended readings as external data readily available			
Environmental Observations recorded a	at all sites:				
	ansion of inva	odors, etc.), trash/debris, homeless encampments, biological asive species, erosion, scouring, other noteworthy comments re:			
General WQ Conditions observed at all site	es: (numerical o	coding added in 2010)			
Weather Condition, Presence of Algae,	Clarity, Color	, Odor, Flow, Foam, Litter, Odor, Oil and Grease (O&G)			
Parameters measured by others at selected s	sites				
10. Coliform countsMPN/SDCoastKeeper data taken at Fashion Valley Rd100mLMission Dam monitoring sites (Table H.2)					
11. Stream Flow	cfs	USGS gauging stations at Fashion Valley and Mast Rd near Santee (Table H.1)			

Team Leaders and multiple citizen volunteers (typically 3-8 persons) meet at an appointed site, organize field equipment/transportation, drive to sites, measure physical-chemical water quality using Sonde instrument, note special conditions/observations, collect samples for subsequent testing, return to office, perform nutrient (NO₃ & PO₄) tests, store samples for subsequent laboratory (e.g., sediment toxicity) analyses and clean/check-in/store field equipment.

Data Management: Water quality data are typically managed in a three-step process.


1. *Raw* (source) data - each site, several of which have two monitoring locations (e.g. upstream/ downstream of dam, riffle or crossing), date/time, measured WQ parameters, and non-quantifiable supporting observations and comments.

2. *Compiled* (vetted/proofed) data - provided on Ecolayers w/date, site location, parameter value and additional observations of interest.

3. *Processed* (formatted/aggregated) data - with statistical computations associated with LSDR sites, reaches, sections and tributaries for each WQ parameter of interest including those monitored by others.

Statistical Computations: Various basic statistical values have been calculated from the data. Mean – average of a series (sum of values divided by number of values) Median – middle value of an ordered series (50% larger - 50% smaller) Minimum – lowest or smallest value measured Maximum – highest or greatest value measured Range – Difference between maximum and minimum values 1st Quartile (Q1) – 25% of values smaller - 75% larger 2nd Quartile (Q2) – 50% of values larger - 50% smaller (same as median value) 3rd Quartile (Q3) – 75% of values smaller - 25% larger Variance – sum of the squares of deviation from the mean or average value Standard Deviation (SD) – square root of the variance Skew – third moment about the mean divided by the standard deviation (SD) Coefficient of Variance (CoV)– Variance divided by the mean Trend line - Moving average value taken over 12 month period

Figure E.1 - Lower San Diego River Catchment and WQM Sites



Color Code for LSDR reaches on figure above: Estuary (orange), LMV (purple), UMV (red), MG (dark green), LSB (violet), USB (dark blue), Lakeside (light green), tributaries (light blue). Figure details can be downloaded through Google Earth from SDRPF website/River Monitoring page: file <Fig1.1WQMR.kmz>

Appendix F - LSDR Hydrology and Water Quality

Stream flow or discharge, the volume of water moving past a designated location over a fixed period of time, is a primary driver of changes in water quality. Flow, often expressed as cubic feet per second (cfs) or million gallons per day (mgd), constitutes the amount of water moving off a watershed into a watercourse, as affected by weather (increasing during rainstorms and decreasing during dry spells) and changing during different seasons. Flow decreases during summer months when rainfall is minimal, evaporation rates high and actively growing riparian vegetation extracts water from the ground. August and September are typically months of lowest flow. A function of both volume and velocity, stream flow has a major impact on living organisms, watercourse habitats and on overall water quality. Velocity of flow, typically increasing as volume increases, determines the kinds of organisms that live in the system and also affects the amount of silt and sediment thats transported. Fast moving watercourses usually contain higher levels of DO than slow streams, as they are better aerated.

LSDR average daily flow (ADF) values as recorded at the two USGS gauging stations in the lower watershed are expressed in **Table F.1** for both the monitoring period (Oct 2004 - Sept 2014) and the past 50 years (1965-2014) of official records. The average daily flow values are in close accord for both stations; discharge over the past 10 years has run about 12 percent below the 50 year norm.

Season	West - Mi	ssion Valley	East - San	tee Basin	LSDR (a)		
Units ^(b)	cfs	mgd	cfs	mgd	cfs	mgd	
Fall (Oct/Nov)	19.9	12.9	13.1	8.5	16.5	10.7	
Winter (Dec-Mar)	74.8	48.3	40.7	26.3	57.7	37.3	
Spring (April/May)	16.4	10.6	10.4	6.7	13.4	8.7	
Summer (June-Sept)	2.2	1.4	1.6	1.0	1.9	1.2	
10-Yr Annual Avg. (2005-2014)	32.3	20.9	18.3	11.8	25.3	16.3	
50-Yr Annual Avg. (1965-2014)	36.3	23.5	21.7	14	29.0	18.7	
Total Annual Discharge, AF ^(c)	23,400/2	23,400/26,320		15,680	18,260/20,940		

Table F.1 - Lower SDR Average Daily Flows (WY05-WY14)

(a) Lower San Diego River average daily flow represents a mean hydrologic condition based on averaging the two USGS gauging station stream flow values.

(b) \overrightarrow{ADF} values are expressed in both cubic feet per second (cfs) and million gallons per day (mgd); 1 mgd = 1.547 cfs

(c) Annual discharge volume expressed in acre-feet (1 AF = 325,900 gallons); 10- and 50-Yr averages.

Correlations between total annual rainfall and ADF considered over the past 100 years of hydrologic record and during the period of SDRPF RiverWatch monitoring for the two lower SDR gauging stations are presented in **Tables F.2 and F.3**, respectively. WY05 was a "Very Wet" hydrologic year, whereas WY07 was "Very Dry". WY06&08 were both "Dry" years while WY09&10 were considered "Normal" in terms of both total annual rainfall and average daily flow. The 10-yr ADF in the East and West is 21 and 37 cfs, respectively; both values are approximately the same as the past 45- as well as 99-yr SDR average daily discharges.

Monthly discharge data (min, max and average daily flow) at the two gauging stations extending from Oct 2004 through Oct 2013 are presented in **Chart F.1**. Average daily flow (ADF) for the lower San Diego River varies from less than 1 cfs (0.6 mgd) during the summer (dry) months to nearly 200 cfs (130 mgd) during some winter (wet) seasons in the East (Santee Basin) and up to 380 cfs (246 mgd) in the West

(Mission Valley) section. ADF values have been trending upward since WY07 as shown by the 12-month moving average.

Tuno	# of	Percent of		Tota	l Annual Rai	nfall ^(a)	Average Daily Flow, mgd			
Туре	Years	Total	Years	inches	mm	Avg., mm	East (b)	West (c)	LSDR	
Very Wet	3	3%		>20	>500	580	68	113	92	
Wet	10	10%	30%	15-20	380-499	430	48	81	66	
Above Norm (d)	17	17%		12-15	300-379	340	26	44	35	
Normal	38	38%	38%	8-12	200-299	245	10	18	15	
Dry	26	26%	2207	5-8	125-199	160	7	12	10	
Very Dry	6	6%	32%	<5	<125	100	5	9	7	
Annual Avg.	100	100%		10.2		260	18	28	23	

Table F.2 - Rainfall and Long-Term Average Daily Flow (1914-2014)

a) Total annual rainfall from 1 October through September 31.

b) Santee Basin USGS Stream Gauge Station # 11022480 at Mast Road

c) Mission Valley USGS Stream Gauge Station # 11023000 at Fashion Valley Mall; incomplete data prior to 1968.

d) Above normal annual rainfall (12-15 in/yr) resulting in LSDR average daily flows from 15 to 50 mgd.

Table F.3 - Annual Rainfall and Average Daily Flow (WY05-WY14)

	Aı	nnual Rainfall					
(Type of Year)	mm inches		Variance ^(a)	East (b)	West ^(c)	LSDR	Variance ^(d)
WY05 (Very Wet)	571	22.49	124%	32.9	64.8	49	137%
WY06 (Dry)	154	6.06	-39%	6.9	11.3	9	-57%
WY07 (Very Dry)	98	3.85	-61%	4.6	8.3	6	-71%
WY08 (Dry)	184	7.25	-28%	8.6	16.1	12	-42%
WY09 (Below Normal)	232	9.15	-9%	9.7	17.6	14	-32%
WY10 (Normal)	268	10.55	6%	16.2	27.5	22	6%
WY11 (Above Normal)	321	12.62	26%	25.1	39.9	33	59%
WY12 (Dry)	204	8.03	-20%	7.4	12.3	10	-52%
WY13 (Dry)	169	6.65	-33%	5.2	6.8	6	-71%
WY14 (Dry)	129	5.10	-49%	2.8	3.9	3.4	-84%
10-Yr Average (05-14)	245	9.63	-4%	11.8	20.9	16	-23%
30-Yr Norm ('85-'14)	250	9.85	-2%	16.1	25.2	21	0%
100-Yr Average	254	10.0	0%	18	28	23	10%


(a) Percent difference from long term average annual rainfall (254 mm/yr or 10.0 in/yr); black-above, red-below average.

b) Santee Basin USGS Stream Gauge Station at Mast Rd.

c) USGS Stream Gauge Station at Fashion Valley Mall; incomplete data prior to 1965.

d) Percent difference from 30-Yr average annual daily flow (i.e., 21 mgd).

Monthly and seasonal average annual flows and rainfall over the monitoring period for both stations are shown in **Chart F.2.** The seasonal flow patterns describe range, variance and correlation in monthly ADF and rainfall over the past 10 years. Winter season streamflow within the lower watershed is 100-to-250 times greater than summer, dry season flow. Average annual, winter and summer flows and rainfall for



each of the water years are presented in **Chart F.3.** Highest flows during the monitoring period at both gauging stations were recorded in WY05 (very wet year); the lowest in WY07 (very dry year). Water years '06, '08, '12, '13 and '14 were all dry, witnessing both below normal rainfall and runoff/streamflow. WY09 witnessed near normal rainfall and river discharge. WYs 10&11 were above normal years in terms of total annual rainfall and average daily stream flow. Lowest annual rainfall occurred in WY05, whereas lowest average annual streamflow, both upstream at Santee and downstream in Mission Valley occurred this year (WY14).

Appendix G - LSDR Monthly WQM Site Data

Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Reach		Lower Miss	ion Valley	Upper Mission Valley			
Oct	20.1/19.9	18.8/19.5	18.7/19.9	19.1/20.4	17.2/18.4	17.3/18.8	18.2/18.7
Nov	16.8/16.1	<mark>16.2</mark> /15.9	15.4/16.1	15.0/16.2	14.2/14.6	14.6/15.1	14.6/14.5
Dec	11.7/12.7	11.7/12.6	11.8/12.7	11.9/12.7	10.9/11.7	11.3/12.0	10.9/11.9
Jan	11.7/12.3	11.4/12.1	11.2/12.1	11.0/12.3	9.7/11.4	11.1/11.7	10.1/11.4
Feb	14.4/14.4	14.8/14.2	14.1/14.5	13.8/14.2	13.2/13.5	14.3 /13.8	14.5 /13.6
Mar	18.1 /17.3	18.1/16.9	18.1 /17.0	18.2 /17.3	17.4/16.1	18.4/16.7	18.4/16.4
Apr	20.6/19.2	19.5 /19.0	19.1/19.2	19.2/19.2	18.1/17.9	19.8/18.8	19.6/18.4
May	22.5/21.7	<mark>21.8</mark> /21.5	19.9/21.7	20.4/22.2	18.6/19.8	20.3/21.2	21.4/20.5
Jun	26.0 /24.0	22.0/23.2	20.9/23.6	23.3/24.5	18.8/20.5	20.2/22.6	23.3/21.7
Jul	25.1 /25.0	22.4/24.2	21.6/24.5	23.8/25.8	20.3/21.4	21.5/23.2	24.0 /22.5
Aug	27.0/26.2	23.1/24.3	22.8/24.4	25.4/26.2	21.3 /20.7	22.1/23.0	25.7/22.8
Sept	25.1/22.7	24. 9/22.3	24.5 /22.6	24.9/23.2	20.6/19.4	25.0/21.4	24.7/21.0
WY Avg ^b	<mark>19.9</mark> /19.3	18.7/18.8	18.2/19.0	18.8/19.5	16.7/17.1	18.0/18.2	18.8/17.8

Table G.1(W) West Section Water Temperature (WY14/10-Yr Norms)

a) All values expressed in °C; WY14 values higher than the 10-Yr Norms are in red.b) Water Year values are based on averaging of monthly data (Oct- Sept).

Table G.1(E) Middle and East Section Water Temperature (WY14/10-Yr Norms)

				I				
Site	8	9Т	10	11	12T	13	14	15T
Reach	1	Mission Gorg	e	Lower Sa	ntee Basin	Upper Sa	LSB c	
Oct	15.7/17.6	12.3/16.6	14.6/17.2	15.1/17.3	17.6/18.2	18.4/18.8	15.4/17.0	15.4/19.0
Nov	15.1/13.6	14.7 /13.2	14.5/13.7	15.4 /15.0	16.7/15.9	14.9/15.4	16.0/14.4	15.4 /15.0
Dec	11.3 /11.0	10.0/10.1	11.4/11.3	11.4/11.3	12.4 /12.0	11.9/12.0	11.6/11.7	11.2/10.5
Jan	9.4/10.5	8.1/9.8	9.4/10.7	10.7/11.0	9.9/11.5	11.8/11.6	9.9/11.1	10.8/11.1
Feb	13.8/12.6	11.8/11.8	14.1/12.9	13.6/12.6	/13.4	15.1/13.6	14.0 /13.0	14.5/12.6
Mar	16.7/16.0	14.2/14.9	17.0/16.5	16.3/15.5	19.7 /17.0	17.7/16.8	17.4/16.1	17.5/15.6
Apr	17.6/16.2	15.7/15.4	18.8/17.3	16.8/16.0	17.7/18.0	18.1/18.4	18.6/17.4	18.7/16.4
May	18.6/19.5	16.9/18.7	20.1/20.6	17.4/18.3	/20.2	20.2/21.4	19.0/19.9	19.5/19.7

Site	8	9Т	10	11	12T	13	14	15T
Jun	19.9/21.0	17.1/18.2	22.3/22.5	18.2/19.7	/22.3	24.0 /23.8	19.1/21.2	<mark>21.8</mark> /21.6
Jul	22.0/21.5	19.4 /18.9	24.1 /23.5	20.0/20.7	/22.6	<mark>24.4</mark> /24.1	20.6/22.0	23.0/24.1
Aug	23.7/21.6	20.6/21.0	23.8/23.5	21.0/21.4	/23.2	25.0/24.4	20.7/21.9	23.7/24.3
Sep	22.7/20.7	21.6/20.0	23.6/21.6	22.9/20.2	/21.5	25.1/21.9	25.0/20.6	<mark>24.2</mark> /22.9
WY Avg ^b	17.2/16.8	15.2/16.1	17.8/17.6	16.6/16.6	15.7/17.5	18.9/18.5	17.3/ 17.2	18.0 /17.7

a) All values expressed in oC; WY14 values greater than 10-Yr Norms are shown in red.

b) Water year (WY14 & 10-Yr) values are based on averaging monthly data (Oct-Sept).
c) Forester Creek discharges within the Lower Santee Basin reach just upstream of Carlton Hills Golf course.

Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Reach		Lower Miss	ion Valley		Upper Mission Valley			
Oct	10.24/10.53	3.27/3.14	2.81/2.93	2.42/2.89	2.97/3.25	4.09/3.69	3.01/3.11	
Nov	33.69/11.34	2.89/2.96	2.82/2.79	2.15/2.70	2.90/2.90	3.73/3.36	2.78/2.93	
Dec	2.95/3.85	2.81/1.92	2.30/1.81	2.00/1.92	2.52/1.88	2.45/1.84	1.72/1.86	
Jan	<mark>34.96</mark> /6.61	3.02/2.22	2.94/2.20	2.73/2.16	2.79/2.13	2.49/2.01	2.41/2.03	
Feb	2.99/1.94	2.40/1.74	2.45/1.72	2.60/1.73	2.72/1.75	2.59 /1.65	2.29/1.63	
Mar	2.05/1.88	1.90/1.68	1.83/1.65	1.88/1.68	2.10/1.60	1.94/1.58	2.16 /1.67	
Apr	7.00/2.85	2.49/2.19	2.44/2.14	2.47/1.96	2.71/2.00	2.47/1.96	2.26/2.01	
May	16.10/4.87	2.89/2.74	2.46/2.67	2.53/2.65	3.38/2.76	2.74/2.41	3.10/2.59	
Jun	22.59 /9.30	3.23/3.15	3.01/3.06	2.92/2.99	3.48/3.09	3.05/2.64	3.06/3.86	
Jul	26.05/11.24	3.48/3.37	3.31/3.30	3.06/3.05	3.68/3.13	3.39/3.13	3.06/3.18	
Aug	24.29/14.84	3.74/3.64	3.60/3.42	3.04/3.33	3.79/3.37	3.81 /3.60	3.15/3.33	
Sep	4.48/13.22	2.86/3.51	2.70/3.47	2.00/3.10	3.45/3.32	4.25/4.01	3.18/3.28	
WY Avg ^b	15.62/7.31	<mark>2.92</mark> /2.66	<mark>2.72</mark> /2.57	2.48/2.48	3.04/2.58	3.08/2.63	2.68/2.53	

Table G.2(W) West Section Specific Conductivity (WY14/10-Yr Norms)

a) All values expressed in milli-Siemen/cm; WY14 values greater than 10-Yr norms are in red.

b) Water Year 2014 and 10-Yr values are based on averaging monthly data (Oct-Sept).

Table G.2(E) Middle and East Section Specific Conductivity (WY14/10-Yr Norms)

Site	8	9T	10	11	12T	13	14	15T	
Reach	-	Mission Gorge			Lower Santee Basin		Upper Santee Basin		
Oct	2.27/2.53	5.57/5.27	2.30/2.64	2.75/2.66	1.92/2.10	2.27/2.47	1.83/1.78	2.67/2.73	
Nov	2.40/2.24	5.15/5.18	2.40/2.36	2.37/2.34	1.82/2.11	2.13/1.99	1.70/1.63	2.54/2.67	

Site	8	9T	10	11	12T	13	14	15T
Dec	0.81/1.55	3.03/4.02	0.69/1.60	1.22/1.50	1.69/1.75	1.91/1.60	1.38/1.36	0.60/2.22
Jan	2.45/1.75	5.12 /4.11	2.51/1.69	2.51/1.75	1.86/1.29	2.17/1.54	1.75/1.29	2.59/2.45
Feb	2.20/1.44	4.78 /4.22	2.24/1.48	2.26/1.71	/1.32	2.15/1.48	1.84/1.38	2.45/2.71
Mar	1.97/1.69	4.79 /4.63	1.96/1.73	1.98/1.83	1.04/1.03	1.90/1.53	1.66/1.26	2.53/2.60
Apr	2.13/1.88	4.77 /4.52	<mark>2.22</mark> /2.09	2.36/1.73	1.85/1.20	2.03/1.66	1.72/1.38	2.88/2.73
May	2.28/2.25	5.40/5.67	2.45/2.33	2.56/2.40	/1.50	2.24 /1.89	1.83/1.55	3.00/3.03
Jun	2.60/2.56	5.29/5.50	2.61/2.58	2.61/2.62	/1.98	2.38/1.96	1.81/1.60	2.94/2.98
Jul	3.06/2.69	5.61/5.61	2.77/2.68	2.64/2.58	/2.16	2.46/2.16	1.83/1.62	2.62/2.84
Aug	2.60/3.14	5.78/5.75	1.80/2.73	2.37/2.72	/2.34	2.45/2.26	1.85/1.69	3.28/2.94
Sep	6.22/3.67	5.90/5.81	2.98/2.93	2.38/2.62	/2.23	2.51/2.38	/1.61	1.63/2.64
WY Avg ^b	2.58/2.27	5.10 /5.08	<mark>2.24</mark> /2.22	2.33/2.21	1.70/1.69	2.22/1.90	1.75 /1.52	2.48/2.72

a) All values expressed in milli-Siemens/cm; WY14 values less than 10-Yr norms are in red.b) Water Year 2014/10-Yr values are based on averaging of monthly data (Oct-Sept).

c) Forester Creek discharges within the Lower Santee Basin reach just upstream of Carlton Hills Golf Course.

Table G.3(W) West Section pH (WY14/10-Yr)

Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Reach		Lower Miss	ion Valley	Upper Mission Valley				
Oct	7.34/7.46	7.45/7.47	7.56/7.63	7.48/7.66	7.38/7.44	7.26/7.46	6.91/7.23	
Nov	7.44/7.65	7.63/7.69	7.63/7.71	7.67/7.68	7.66/7.50	7.55/7.55	7.50/7.45	
Dec	7.46/7.55	7.39/7.54	7.38/7.57	7.36/7.61	7.25/7.57	7.04/7.56	7.09/7.42	
Jan	7.27/7.69	7.56/7.69	7.52/7.68	7.45/7.65	7.40/7.58	7.46/7.50	7.81/7.47	
Feb	7.84/7.77	7.71/7.77	7.77/7.80	7.78/7.75	7.77/7.66	7.77/7.68	8.01/7.77	
Mar	7.82/7.78	7.72/7.73	7.70/7.75	7.76/7.76	7.77/7.71	7.75/7.74	7.82/7.80	
Apr	7.50/7.62	7.47/7.71	7.54/7.78	7.57/7.69	7.51/7.55	7.46/7.60	7.67/7.62	
May	7.52/7.54	7.54/7.48	7.65/7.53	7.64/7.58	7.57/7.45	7.60/7.46	7.58/7.44	
Jun	7.71/7.71	7.65/7.71	7.81/7.79	7.89/7.86	7.77/7.64	7.87/7.63	7.84/7.54	
Jul	7.86/7.77	7.47/7.63	7.44/7.72	7.70/7.82	7.57/7.53	7.77/7.56	7.48/6.42	
Aug	8.00/7.98	7.26/7.471	7.14/7.81	7.62/8.03	7.42/7.63	7.46/7.64	7.42/7.55	
Sep	7.41/7.78	7.21/7.68	7.19/7.90	7.24/7.94	7.34/7.55	7.39/7.61	7.34/7.38	
WY Avg ^b	7.60/7.69	7.51/7.65	7.53/7.72	7.60/7.75	7.53/7.57	7.53/7.58	7.54/7.51	

a) All values are unit-less. b) Water Year 2014 and 10-Yr Norms based on averaging monthly results (Oct-Sept).

	Table G.S(E) Windule and East Section pri (W114/10-11 Norms)										
Site	8	9Т	10	11	12T	13	14	15T			
Reach]	Mission Gorg	je	Lower Santee Basin		Upper Sa	ntee Basin	LSB ^c			
Oct	7.86/7.52	7.73/7.69	8.24/7.76	7.61/7.58	8.80/7.84	7.99/7.71	8.17/7.81	8.19/7.97			
Nov	7.55/7.69	7.62/7.58	7.86/7.69	7.39/7.58	8.16/7.91	7.76/7.67	7.95/7.74	7.88/8.07			
Dec	7.58/7.74	7.55/7.72	7.96/7.81	7.50/7.48	7.86/7.77	7.55/7.71	7.72/7.74	7.93/7.98			
Jan	7.65/7.80	7.69/7.75	7.95/7.72	7.87/7.58	8.06/7.89	7.87/7.83	8.05/7.84	8.10/8.05			
Feb	7.66/7.62	7.73/7.64	7.90/7.74	7.97/7.60	/7.87	7.87/7.85	8.06/7.80	8.10/8.17			
Mar	7.67/7.70	7.75/7.73	8.04/7.72	7.54/7.58	/7.71	7.93/7.65	8.03/7.64	8.00/8.21			
Apr	7.35/7.71	7.29/7.70	7.61/7.84	7.10/7.41	7.74/7.90	7.63/7.70	7.74/7.82	7.63/8.21			
May	7.69/7.77	7.58/7.85	7.82/7.79	7.42/7.52	/7.89	7.82/7.53	8.06/7.66	7.97/8.08			
Jun	7.37/7.70	7.39/7.76	7.90/7.83	7.48/7.55	/7.84	7.71/7.61	8.07/7.78	7.99/8.12			
Jul	7.24/7.53	7.99/7.76	7.93/7.75	7.39/7.53	/7.58	7.59/7.60	7.47/7.70	7.56/8.06			
Aug	6.89/7.50	7.88/7.69	7.17/7.73	7.37/7.60	/7.63	7.33/7.58	7.52/7.81	7.40/8.07			
Sep	7.16/7.28	8.04/7.50	7.18/7.58	7.32/7.54	/7.78	7.35/7.51	/7.76	7.40/7.97			
WY Avg ^b	7.47/7.63	7.69/7.70	7.80/7.75	7.50/7.54	8.12/7.81	7.70/7.66	7.89/7.76	7.85/8.08			

Table G.3(E) Middle and East Section pH (WY14/10-Yr Norms)

a) All values are unit-less; WY14 values less than 10-Yr norms are shown in red.

b) Water Year 2014/10-Yr values are based on averaging of monthly data (Oct-Sept).

c) Forester Creek discharges within the Lower Santee Basin reach just upstream of Carlton Oaks Golf course.

Table G.4(W) West Section Dissolved Oxygen (WY14/10-Yr Norms)

				50					
Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Reach		Lower Miss	ion Valley		Upper Mission Valley				
Oct	4.74/4.43	3.68/3.14	6.99/3.90	2.15/5.18	4.55/4.76	1.50 /2.16	4.77/3.98		
Nov	<mark>3.71</mark> /5.97	4.20/6.28	2.18/5.30	0.55/6.68	2.58/5.42	2.13/3.55	3.88/5.69		
Dec	6.86/6.96	6.01/6.58	4.14/6.81	3.40/7.66	5.96/6.59	5.04/5.49	6.07/7.09		
Jan	<mark>6.90</mark> /8.65	5.77/8.22	5.17/8.88	4.42/9.47	5.98 /8.30	4.59/8.10	4.79/8.49		
Feb	4.27/7.04	3.37/6.73	3.18/7.25	2.73/8.57	3.65/7.32	2.82/7.08	2.90/7.37		
Mar	2.91/6.69	3.28/6.12	0.84/6.64	2.06/7.91	3.02/6.41	2.42/6.21	3.50/6.86		
Apr	5.79/6.38	2.95/5.31	0.88/5.60	1.69/7.12	3.44/5.09	1.25/5.01	3.79/5.91		
May	4.63 /5.12	1.02/3.13	<mark>0.76</mark> /3.60	3.61/5.75	2.96/3.66	1.73/3.08	5.39/4.14		
Jun	7.46/5.72	0.77/3.07	1.43/3.09	5.07/5.13	2.20/3.66	2.69/2.73	6.03/3.06		

Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Jul	6.34/5.52	0.26/2.28	0.34/2.70	1.04/4.50	1.99/3.62	0.52/1.88	2.19/2.46
Aug	9.64/6.55	0.33/2.12	0.09/2.65	2.74 /5.65	1.70/3.51	0.73/1.79	4.04/2.92
Sep	0.58/5.00	0.11/2.63	1.10/2.60	0.06/5.06	1.15/3.96	0.26/1.41	2.51/2.65
WY Avg ^b	5.32/6.17	2.68/4.63	2.26/4.92	2.46 /6.56	3.26/5.19	2.14 /4.04	4.16 /5.05

a) All values expressed in milligrams/liter; WY14 values less than/below 10-Yr norms shown in red.

Table G.4(E) Middle and East Section Dissolved Oxygen (WY14/10-Yr)

					70 , , ,			
Site	8	9T	10	11	12T	13	14	15T
Reach	М	lission Gorge	e	Lower Santee Basin		Upper Sa	ntee Basin	LSB ^c
Oct	5.4 5/6.87	7.3/8.43	7.19/5.99	3.59 /5.05	5.85/5.53	<mark>0.92</mark> /3.31	3.16/2.81	3.87/5.73
Nov	8.41/9.64	9.9/9.37	9.96/8.43	5.92/6.83	8.70/7.32	0.41/2.73	1.69/2.68	4.96 /7.56
Dec	10.2/10.47	<mark>9.5</mark> /9.58	7.84/8.44	6.75/7.86	9.32/8.73	1.15/4.25	4.51/3.54	8.05/9.29
Jan	<mark>9.93</mark> /10.6	11.6/10.9	12.16/9.75	8.59/8.57	6.31/8.97	3.19/5.67	4.55/4.98	6.99/9.27
Feb	6.00/9.42	7.1/9.85	7.31/8.63	5.28/7.47	-/7.97	2.05/5.69	2.75/5.62	4.27/8.75
Mar	6.76 /9.24	<mark>9.1</mark> /9.36	7.84/8.46	5.73/7.18	7.17/8.33	2.75/4.50	1.45/5.04	3.81/8.87
Apr	5.73/8.96	8.3/9.07	6.73/7.73	5.10/6.53	5.14/7.25	1.26 /3.85	1.89/3.57	3.31/7.57
May	3.46/7.39	11.2/8.98	10.24/7.46	5.75/5.70	-/6.49	2.97/3.70	2.06/2.55	<u>6.95</u> /7.63
Jun	0.80/6.68	<mark>6.6</mark> /7.89	7.47/6.81	4.13/4.90	-/5.72	2.06/2.98	2.22/2.51	8.12/7.45
Jul	1.00/5.49	6.5/7.09	5.54/5.11	3.28/4.74	-/4.21	1.58/2.23	1.22/2.05	1.70/6.58
Aug	1.26 /4.60	6. 9/7.38	0.48/5.61	3.06/5.23	-/4.29	0.25/1.89	2.90/2.50	3.22/7.39
Sep	1.07/4.96	7.6/7.43	1.93/4.84	2.38/5.12	-/5.82	4.34/2.22	-/2.72	1.40/5.83
WY Avg ^b	5.00 /7.86	8.47/8.72	7.06/7.27	4.96 /6.27	7.08/6.93	1.80/3.58	2.58/3.38	4.72/7.66

a) All values expressed in milligrams/liter; WY14 values less than 10-Yr Averages are expressed in red.

b) WY14 and 10-Yr Avg. values are based on averaging of monthly data (Oct-Sept).

c) Tributary discharges within the Lower Santee Basin reach just upstream of Carlton Oaks Golf course.

Table G.5(W) West Section Dissolved Oxygen Percent Saturation (WY14/10-Yr)

Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Reach		Lower Mis	sion Valley	Upper Mission Valley				
Oct	53/49	41/35	76/43	<mark>24</mark> /58	<mark>48</mark> /51	<mark>16</mark> /23	<mark>51</mark> /43	
Nov	<mark>39</mark> /61	<mark>46</mark> /64	<mark>22</mark> /54	6/69	<mark>26</mark> /54	<mark>21</mark> /36	<mark>39</mark> /57	
Dec	<mark>64</mark> /66	56/62	<mark>39</mark> /65	<mark>32</mark> /73	<mark>55</mark> /58	<mark>47</mark> /51	<mark>56</mark> /66	

Site #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Jan	<mark>64</mark> /82	<mark>54</mark> /77	<mark>48</mark> /84	41/89	<mark>53</mark> /74	<mark>42</mark> /76	<mark>43</mark> /79
Feb	<mark>42</mark> /70	35/66	<mark>31</mark> /71	27/85	<mark>35</mark> /71	<mark>28</mark> /70	<mark>29</mark> /72
Mar	<mark>31</mark> /71	35/64	<mark>9</mark> /69	22/83	32/66	<mark>26</mark> /63	<mark>38</mark> /71
Apr	<mark>65</mark> /70	32/58	10/61	<mark>19</mark> /78	<mark>37</mark> /55	14 /55	<mark>42</mark> /64
May	54/59	11/37	8/42	41/67	32/41	<mark>19</mark> /35	62/47
Jun	93/69	<mark>9</mark> /36	16/37	<u>60</u> /62	<mark>24</mark> /41	<mark>30</mark> /32	72/35
Jul	78/68	3/28	4/33	<mark>13</mark> /56	22/42	<mark>6</mark> /21	<mark>26/</mark> 29
Aug	122/82	4/26	1/32	34/71	<mark>19</mark> /40	<mark>8</mark> /21	50/35
Sep	7/59	1/31	<mark>13</mark> /30	1/60	13/43	3/18	31/30
WY14 Avg ^b	<mark>59</mark> /67	<mark>27/4</mark> 9	<mark>5</mark> /52	<mark>26</mark> /71	<mark>33</mark> /53	<mark>22</mark> /42	<mark>45</mark> /52

a) All values expressed in percent; WY13 values less than WY12 are expressed in red.

b) Water Year 2013/2012 values are based on averaging of monthly values (Oct- Sept).

Site	8	9Т	10	11	12T	13	14	15T
Reach	Ν	Aission Gorge	5	Lower Sa	ntee Basin	Upper Sa	ntee Basin	LSB c
Oct	<mark>56</mark> /73	<mark>69</mark> /84	72/63	<mark>36</mark> /50	62/58	10/33	32/27	<mark>39</mark> /56
Nov	85/94	99/90	99/83	<mark>60</mark> /65	91/71	4/26	17/25	50 /66
Dec	<mark>94</mark> /97	85/84	73/78	<mark>63</mark> /69	88/79	11/38	42/32	74/77
Jan	<mark>88</mark> /97	100/96	107/88	78/75	<mark>56</mark> /78	29 /50	41/44	<mark>64</mark> /78
Feb	<u>59/90</u>	<mark>66</mark> /93	72/83	51/67	-/73	19 /50	<mark>27</mark> /51	<mark>42</mark> /74
Mar	70/95	<u>90/94</u>	82/88	59/69	80/81	27/44	15/48	40/81
Apr	<mark>61</mark> /93	85/91	73/81	<mark>53</mark> /65	<mark>55</mark> /75	13/41	21/36	<mark>36</mark> /71
May	<mark>38</mark> /82	118/96	114/84	61/59	-/71	33/42	<mark>23</mark> /27	77/74
Jun	<mark>9</mark> /76	<mark>69</mark> /87	87/80	44/52	-/66	25/36	<mark>24</mark> /28	94/76
Jul	<mark>12</mark> /63	71/80	67/61	37/51	-/49	19/27	14/23	20/64
Aug	15/53	78/83	<mark>6</mark> /67	35/58	-/50	3/23	33/28	<mark>39</mark> /77
Sep	<mark>13</mark> /56	88/83	<mark>23</mark> /55	28/56	-/64	42/26	-/29	17/55
WY Avg ^b	<mark>50/</mark> 81	<mark>85</mark> /90	<mark>73</mark> /76	50/61	72/70	20/36	<mark>26/</mark> 33	49 /70

a) All values expressed as percent; WY14 values less than 10-Yr Average are shown in red.

b) Water Year 2014 and 10-Yr values are based on averaging of monthly (Oct-Sept) data.

c) Tributary discharges within the Lower Santee Basin reach just upstream of Carlton Oaks golf course.

Appendix H - WY13 LSDR WQM Data by Others

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) stream flow values (mean daily discharge in cubic feet per second) presented in **Table H.1** for the two Lower San Diego River gauging stations are provisional data subject to revision. Processing and review of the 2012 data is typically completed in December with subsequent approval for publication. The two stations are managed by the Poway South Field Office. Data for the San Diego River gauging stations as well as other streams and rivers throughout California are available via URL at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/dv?.

	Fa	shion Valle	y (Sta. 1102	23000)	Sa	intee Basin (S	ita. 11022480))
Month	Min.	Max.	ADF ₃ ^a	ADFm ^b	Min.	Max.	ADF ₃ a	ADFm ^b
Oct	0.8/1.3	5.5/3.0	<mark>2.1</mark> /2.7	<mark>3.3</mark> /22	1.1/0.4	30/10	1.3/1.8	2.9 /14.3
Nov	0.8/0.8	5.0/4.0	1.2/11.5	<mark>2.7</mark> /15.4	0.9/1.8	16/12	1.1/4.8	2.7/10.4
Dec	1.4 /1.5	<mark>17</mark> /220	<mark>27</mark> /29	<mark>6.9</mark> /85	3.3/3.1	47/224	23/25	7.4/47
Jan	1.9 /8.4	<mark>6.4</mark> /140	2.7 /32	3.4/79	2.7/6.4	<mark>7</mark> /165	2.8/23	4.1 /40
Feb	2.1/11	85/36	4.2/82	<mark>8.8</mark> /91	2.7/6.9	108/100	3.3/44	<u>5.0</u> /52
Mar	7.7/8.1	315/118	8.5/44	25/49	6.3/6.2	135/121	8.9/14	19 /28
Apr	3.0/4.3	22/8.2	3.7/14	<mark>6.9</mark> /26	2.3/3.3	29/6	4.1/13	<mark>6.6</mark> /16
May	1.1/2.7	<mark>4.2</mark> /47	1.3/8.0	1.6/10.9	0.7/1.6	2.2/39	1.4/4.7	3.0/6.6
Jun	0.7/1.6	1.3/3.2	0.8/3.3	0.8/3.8	0.4/1.1	1.1/2.2	0.8/2.4	0.7/2.6
Jul	0.6/1.5	1.1/3.2	0.7/1.4	0.7/2.1	0.2/0.4	8.3/1.1	0.4/1.3	0.5/1.5
Aug	0.5/1.0	1.0/2.1	0.6/1.4	0.8/1.5	0.1/0.1	16/0.4	0.4/0.9	1.4 /1.2
Sep	0.6/1.0	36/1.5	2.5/2.7	1.5/1.5	0.0/0.2	1.7/1.5	1.1/1.2	0.2/1.1
WY14/10Y Av			4.6 /19.3	<mark>6.1</mark> /32.3			4.0 /11.2	4.0/18.1

 Table H.1 USGS Stream Flow Data (WY14/10-Yr)

a) Average daily flow during 3-day period of water quality monitoring.

b) Average daily flow for entire month.

c) WY14 values lower (less) than 10-Yr averages are shown in red.

Average daily flow in WY14 was down 78% (14 cfs) in the eastern portion of the LSDR and down 81% (26 cfs) in the western portion from the 10-yr averages. LSDR total discharge during WY14 amounted to 3,800AF vs 5,864 AF for WY13 and 26,000 AFY on average over the past 49 years of record. Average annual streamflow for WY14 amounted to less than 15% of the 49-year mean flow for LSDR. The summer season (June-Sept) of WY14 represented one of the lowest periods of dry weather flow recorded at Fashion Valley since the mid-1980's.

San Diego CoastKeeper (SDCK) coliform count values (in MPN/100 mL) from the organization's two San Diego River monitoring stations for WY14 and WY13 are presented in **Table H.2**. Monitoring results from 2009 through 2011 for selected San Diego area watersheds, including the lower San Diego River (HSU 907.1), can be accessed via the organization's URL website at http://www.sdcoastkeeper.org/learn/swimmable/san-diego-water-quality.html.

		n Valley Road (S	•	Old Mission Historical Dam (SDG-020)			
Month	EColi ^(a)	Enterocc (b)	TCB (c)	EColi ^(a)	Enterocc (b)	TCB (c)	
Oct	-/310	-/280	-/3080	-/620	-/100	-/11200	
Nov	40/150	80/-	13,800/-	40/360	100/-	2600/4610	
Dec	30/-	70/-	1050/-	50 /-	30 /-	1370 /-	
Jan	-/2490	-/1200	-/-	50/3450	40/8660	620/24190	
Feb	-/-	- / -	-/-	-/-	- /-	-/-	
Mar	10/90	70/710	1280/850	20/20	50/40	790/1180	
Apr	20/10	120/40	<mark>930</mark> /800	100/30	-/60	910/4880	
May	-/20	-/90	-/1550	-/10	-/60	-/5170	
June	<mark>190</mark> /110	150/40	1900/2140	10/10	10/20	1060/360	
July	-/20	-/30	-/1330	-/10	-/10	-/140	
Aug	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	
Sept	30/-	120/-	540 /-	10/-	10/-	2310/-	
WY Avg.	50/920	<mark>610</mark> /340	1180/4850	40/590	40/1280	1380/6730	
WY MCC ^(d)	<mark>90</mark> /80	110/140	1205/2170	55/55	55/80	1610/2360	
Summer	110/35	135/50	1220/1640	10/10	10/20	1685/640	
Winter	25/160	85/310	1160/2670	52/190	55/220	1258/6290	

 Table H.2 San Diego CoastKeeper Coliform Count Data WY14/WY13

a) Escherichia-coli (E.coli) bacteria expressed in MPN/100mL

b) Enterococcus (faecalis) bacteria expressed in MPN/100mL

c) Total Coliform bacteria (common) expressed in MPN/100mL.

d) Mean coliform counts for WY14/WY13 calculated by SDRPF RiverWatch for comparative purposes only; values are neither endorsed nor validated by the San Diego CoastKeeper organization.

e) WY14 values greater than WY13 are shown in red.

Mean coliform counts vary considerably from month to month, however, there is little evidence of an established pattern from season-to-season, from east-to-west or from year-to-year. Highest TCC's are typically monitored following major storm flow events.

Appendix I - Water Quality Indexing

Decision-makers, non-technical water managers, numerous vested watershed stakeholders as well as the general public usually have neither time nor training to study and understand detailed technical assessments of water quality data. Over the last several decades numerous indexes have been developed to summarize water quality data in an easily expressed and readily understood format. Water quality professionals are often resistant to any automated, uncritical summarization represented by such indexes; there are sound reasons to use results with caution. Often scientists and water resource professionals prefer to provide no answer rather than an imperfect answer that can lead to misunderstanding. Layman and many decision makers, however, prefer an imperfect answer to no answer at all. Using an index may not be the optimal way to fully understand large-scale water quality issues, but it does provide a reasonable tool for gaining insight. Professionals can appreciate the need for imperfect answers and conversely others need to recognize and accept an answer's limitations.

Water quality indexing was first proposed and demonstrated in the 1970s, however, prior to the personal computer, calculations were fairly labor-intensive so the technique was not widely used or accepted by many monitoring agencies. As use and limitations were commonly misunderstood, the potential of using an index for communicating water quality status and trends was often overlooked. Evaluation of water quality in terms of raw data can be very misleading and confusing not only for the layman but also to stakeholders with diverse and sometimes conflicting perspectives. It is typically difficult for individuals interested in water quality to interpret reams of raw data in order to gain an understanding of water quality conditions. This quest often results in faulty conclusions regarding water quality status and watershed management practices. An index is simply an attempt to integrate complex analytical data and generate a single number expressing the relative degree of impairment of a water body at a given point in time or given locale. The underlying objective of the exercise is to enhance communications with the general public, interested stakeholders, public agencies and increase citizen awareness of water quality conditions.

By design indexes contain less information than the raw data they summarize; many uses of water quality data cannot be met with an index. An index is generally most useful for comparative purposes (e.g., what river sites or reaches have particularly poor water quality?) and for temporal questions (e.g., how is the water quality at present relative to what is has been in the past?). Indexes are less suited to specific questions. Site-specific decisions need to be based on analysis of original water quality data. Basically, an index can be a useful tool for "communicating water quality information to the lay public and to legislative decision makers," it is not, however "a complex predictive model for technical and scientific application". This index was developed as a mechanism to summarize and report routine monitoring data to interested parties. SDRPF's RiverWatch team does not monitor biological constituents or toxic substances, thus issues related to public health, body contact recreation and aquatic life are not effectively addressed by the index.


Besides being general in nature (i.e., imprecise), there are several reasons that an index may fail to accurately communicate water quality information. First, most indexes are based on pre-identified sets of water quality constituents. For example, a specific site may show a good WQI score, and yet have water quality impaired by other constituents not included in the index. Another reason, data aggregation can mask, normalize or over-emphasize short-term water quality issues. A satisfactory WQI at a particular site or reach does not necessarily mean that water quality is or always was satisfactory. A good score, however, does at least indicate that inferior water quality for those constituents evaluated is not chronic during the period included for the index.

The index has been developed for the purpose of providing a simple and concise expression of regularly monitored physical-chemical and bacteriological water quality data compiled by the SDRPF RiverWatch Team as well as several other monitoring groups; it is intended to aid in assessment of the Lower San Diego River watershed primarily for non-body contact recreational uses and environmental enhancement. It constitutes a mechanism to compare averages, variances and trends in normalized values over time (temporally) and by relative location (spatially) within the watershed. The index allows anyone to easily interpret large amounts of aggregated data and relate overall water quality variation to changes, be they from natural causes or man-made impairments. The WQI is used to identify general water quality trends over the past 8 years of monitoring and potential problem areas within the SDR watershed. Such patterns and locations can then be screened and evaluated in greater detail through direct observation of pertinent site-specific data by public agencies and water quality professionals entrusted with protection and enhancement. Used in this manner, the index provides a supplemental metric for evaluating effectiveness of the many San Diego River water quality improvement programs and also assist responsible agencies and organizations in establishing priorities for watershed management.

Running average LSDR WQI values from WY05 through WY12 are expressed by river reach and river section on **Charts I.1 and I.2**, respectively. **Chart I.1** also presents overall LSDR monthly WQI values over the 8-year period. Both seasonal patterns and trends in WQI values can be seen. **Chart I.2** provides the range (max-min) in monthly WQI values as well as average monthly streamflow. The water quality fluctuations over time in individual reaches, sections and the overall (average) Lower San Diego River expressed on both a running average basis and the annual cycle can be observed. The Upper Santee Basin reach (Sites 13 & 14) presents lowest index values since March of 2010, whereas the Mission Gorge (middle section) reach consistently presents highest values. There has been a general decline in overall water quality, as evidenced by the WQI values, since November of 2011. The running (12-mo) average index value fell by 9 units (22.5%) from high of 40 (13% above the 9-yr mean) over the last 22 months to a current (Sept '13) low of 31 (-13% below the 9-yr mean).

Chart I.3 presents a temporal summary of variances in the water quality index values profiled on a monthly, seasonal and average annual water year basis for each river reach and the overall LSDR average. These variances are compared to changes in streamflow on the same basis. The positive correlations are evident, i.e., increase in average daily flow results in improved water quality. Low flow throughout the summer period results in poorest water quality.

Chart I.4 provides a spatial profile of average annual WQI by river monitoring site, reach and section for this year (WY13), last (WY12) and the 9-Yr winter, summer and annual averages. The sites are in chronological order ascending upstream. The current (WY13) average annual WQI values shown in black are below those from last year (WY12) shown in red at all monitoring sites. The WQI values for WY13 are also below the 9-Yr averages (yellow bars) at all but two (7&12T) monitoring sites. For the third consecutive year, Site 13 (Mast Park) has demonstrated lowest water quality values.






Table J.1 WQM Data Summary (Annual & Seasonal Averages)											
	WY05	WY06	WY07	WY08	WY09	WY10	WY11	WY12	WY13	WY14	10Yr Norm
	Annual (October-September):										
ADF, cfs	76	14	10	19	21	34	49	16	9	5	25
Temp, ⁰C	17.7	18.3	17.7	17.7	17.7	18.1	17.8	18.0	17.3	17.9	17.8
SpC, uS/cm	2.131	2.191	2.419	2.323	2.493	2.362	2.211	2.388	2.499	2.582	2.360
DO, mg/L	6.84	5.87	5.91	6.28	6.17	5.40	5.82	5.59	5.68	4.09	5.76
DO%Sat, %	67	58	59	65	64	57	61	58	58	42	58
pН	7.58	7.33	7.49	7.89	7.61	7.85	7.89	7.72	7.77	7.63	7.68
MCC, #/uL	-	-	-	-	440	600	420	510	800		550
WQI	41	36	36	38	37	35	38	33	32	22	35
Grade	С	D+	D+	С	D+	D	C-	D	D	Е	D
				Summer	(June-Se	eptember) Period:				
ADF, cfs	3.6	2.5	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.9	2.7	1.7	1.2	1.0	1.9
Temp, ⁰C	21.8	23.7	21.8	22.9	22.8	21.9	21.7	22.9	21.7	22.7	22.4
SpC, uS/cm	2.616	2.479	2.778	3.067	3.247	3.038	2.860	3.130	3.086	3.024	2.933
DO, mg/L	5.11	5.02	4.85	5.39	4.94	3.94	4.03	4.00	3.50	2.63	4.34
DO%Sat, %	53	56	52	62	56	46	46	47	40	41	50
pН	7.58	7.33	7.70	8.08	7.72	7.70	7.85	7.47	7.75	7.52	7.69
MCC, #/uL	-	-	-	-	350	90	260	430	400		310
WQIa	26	26	22	25	22	21	23	19	16	11	21
Grade	D-	D-	Е	D-	Е	Е	E+	Е	Е	F	Е
				Winter (Decembe	er-March) Period:				
ADF, cfs	125	23	17	36	41	65	90	28	16	9	45
Temp, ⁰C	13.5	12.8	13.8	12.4	13.3	14.2	13.7	12.4	12.4	13.4	13.3
SpC, uS/cm	1.447	1.988	2.042	1.573	1.552	1.375	1.326	1.691	2.022	2.242	1.726
DO, mg/L	9.55	6.72	6.97	7.17	7.39	6.35	7.66	7.24	8.10	5.32	7.25
DO%Sat	89	60	67	68	73	64	75	68	76	50	69
pH	7.51	7.46	7.42	7.89	7.52	7.85	7.96	7.96	7.74	7.99	7.70
MCC, #/uL	-	-	-	-	560	1480	470	720	1640		970
WQIa	58	46	50	52	55	52	52	43	50	32	49
Grade	В	С	B-	B-	В	B-	B-	С	B-	D	C+

Appendix J -LSDR Water Quality Monitoring Data Summary Sheets

(a) Percent change in this year's value (WY14) from last year (WY13).

(b) Percent change in this year's value (WY13) from first year (WY05).

(c) Percent change in this year's value (WY13) above (+) or below (-) 9-yr Average.

(d) Values in red represent values below 10Yr norms.

Table J.2 WQW Spacial Data Summary (W 114 & 10-11 Norms)										
Section	Mission	n Valley	Mission Gorge	Santee	Watershed					
Sites	1-4	5-7	8-10	11,12 &15	13&14	all (1-15)				
Reach	LMV	UMV	MG	LSB	USB	LSDR (a)				
Annual (Oct-Sept):										
ADF, cfs	10.5/35.2	10/30	8/20.1 ^(b)	7.5/19	5/13	<mark>9.3</mark> /27.6				
Temp, °C	19.9/19.3	18.8/17.8	18.1/17.1	18.2/17.3	17.6 /18.0	17.9/17.8				
SC, mS/cm	2.783/2.604	2.935/2.579	2.473/2.235	2.409/2.223	1.945/1.742	2.490/2.326				
DO, mg/L	2.32/5.26	3.09 /4.75	7.21/7.80	5.06/6.70	2.08/3.52	4.09 /5.76				
DOSat, %	<mark>24</mark> /56	32/49	73/80	<mark>52</mark> /65	<mark>22</mark> /35	<mark>42</mark> /59				
рН	7.56/7.70	7.53/7.55	7.65/7.69	7.73/7.76	7.77/7.70	7.77/7.68				
MCC, #/100mL	430	-	540	-	-	800/550				
WQIa	18/37	19/32	<mark>36</mark> /50	<mark>28</mark> /37	10/19	<mark>22</mark> /35				
Grade	E/D+	E/D	D+/B-	D/D+	F/E	E/D				
Current Rating	Poor		Marginal		Very Poor	Poor				
Summer (June-Sept) Period:										
ADF, cfs	1.7/2.3	1.5/2.1	0.4/1.7 ^(c)	0.3/1.5	0.2/1.1	1.1/2.0				
Temp, °C	23.9/24.2	22.3/21.7	22.2/21.7	<mark>21.4</mark> /21.6	23.5/22.8	22.7/22.4				
SC, mS/cm	3.150/3.322	3.445/3.238	3.225/2.842	2.743/2.599	2.184/1.984	3.068/2.912				
DO, mg/L	1.11/3.75	2.17/3.07	4.51 /6.35	3.35 /5.60	1.48/2.80	2.63/4.34				
DOSat, %	13/44	<mark>25</mark> /35	<mark>51</mark> /72	<mark>38</mark> /59	17/32	<mark>41</mark> /50				
MCC, #/100mL	570/270	-	220/560	-	-	400/310				
WQIa	10/22	<mark>11</mark> /17	12/32	<mark>16</mark> /25	7/10	<mark>11/2</mark> 1				
Grade	F/E F/E		F+/D	E/D-	F/F	F/E				
Current Rating		Very Poor		Poor	Very Poor					
Winter (Dec-March) Period:										
ADF, cfs	25/81.5	23/72	20/45	18/40	15/25	23/63				
Temp, °C	13.9 /14.2	13.4/13.5	12.5 /12.6	13.4/12.8	13.8/13.3	13.4/13.3				
SC, mS/cm	2.534/1.882	2.506/1.817	1.927/1.533	2.135/1.786	1.783/1.394	2.021/1.659				
DO, mg/L	3.71/7.00	4.23/6.68	8.89/9.02	<mark>6.47</mark> /7.91	2.56/4.58	5.32/7.25				
DOSat, %	<mark>36</mark> /69	4 0/64	<mark>83</mark> /86	<mark>62</mark> /71	25/42	<mark>50</mark> /69				
MCC, #/100mL	1050/1740	-	2230/860	-	-	1640/970				
WQIa	<mark>25</mark> /53	<mark>26</mark> /49	55/65	<mark>39</mark> /49	14/30	<mark>32/4</mark> 9				
Grade	D-/B	D-/C+	B/B	C/C+	E/D	D/C+				
Current Rating	g Marginal		Good	Fair	Poor	Marginal				

Table J.2 WQM Spacial Data Summary (WY14 & 10-Yr Norms)

WY14 values below (less than) 10-Yr Norms are shown in red.(a) Weighted average of all reaches within the Lower SDR watershed.

(b) Stream flow based on averaged river gains and losses between Santee Basin and Mission Valley.(c) During periods when surface water is evident; intermittent dry-weather conditions.